UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,265	01/25/2006	Jurgen Denul	016782-0346	7815
	7590 11/10/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	MILLER, DANIEL H		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/565,265	DENUL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL MILLER	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-17 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a policant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the lead rawing(s) is objected to be seen to be see	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/565,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 1 and 4-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derflinger et al (US 7,067,191) in view of Yang (Materials Letters 57 (2003) 3305-3310).
- 2. Derflinger teaches a substrate covered by a metal layer (2b) and another layer containing nitrogen (2a) and then a second metal layer (3) covered by a carbide layer (4b) and then covered with a hard carbon layer (4a) (see figure 5). The top carbon containing layer can be optionally replaced with a diamond like coating (column 4 line 45-55). The deposition method includes the formation nano-crystalline Carbides in an inert atmosphere (Column 6 line 30-40; and column 7 line 27-33).
- 3. The layers can comprise Ti or Cr and the nitride containing layer can comprise CrN (see claims 7, 8, and 10).
- 4. Regarding claims 10-12, the layers have the same thickness as applicant's claimed thickness (see ref. claims 25-43).
- 5. Regarding claims 13-15, given the substantial similarities of the compositions and thickness of the layers they would be expected to have substantially similar properties, and are thus anticipated by the reference.
- 6. Derflinger is silent as to a DLN (Diamond Like Nanocomposite) coating.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,265

Art Unit: 1794

7. Yang teaches that DLN films are advantageous for protective coatings particularly those requiring high hardness and low friction in contact with counter materials, and good adhesion between the film and substrate (see Intro.). The DLN films have advantages over traditional diamond like carbon film in that they adhere to a variety of substrates better and have excellent thermal stability not found in diamond like coatings and represent a significant advance in both stability and the ability to tailor specific properties of the coating (See Intro.).

Page 3

- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the inventions to substitute the DLN coating of Yang for the more traditional DLC coating of Derflinger because the DLN films have advantages over traditional diamond like carbon film in that they adhere to a variety of substrates better and have excellent thermal stability not found in diamond like coatings and represent a significant advance in both stability and the ability to tailor specific properties of the coating (See Intro Yang.), all of which would improve the wear resistant properties of the coating of Derflinger.
- 9. Regarding claims 16-17, Yang teaches a (PECVD) Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (DLN) film produced in a manner substantially similar to applicant's disclosed coating and therefore would be expected by one of ordinary skill to have substantially similar composition. Further regarding claim 17, with regards to the claimed compositional percentages, in the alternative, it would be obvious to optimize the claimed percentages of components to within applicant's broadly disclosed range by optimizing the hardness and wear resistance of the coating, taking advantage of the

Art Unit: 1794

DLN films ability to be to tailored to have specific properties (as taught by Yang above).

No patentable distinction is seen.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL MILLER whose telephone number is (571)272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571)272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794